

Furstenberg transformations on Cartesian products of infinite-dimensional tori

P. A. Cecchi¹ and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa^{2*}

¹ Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de Chile,
Av. Alameda Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins 3363, Estación Central, Santiago, Chile

² Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile,
Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile

E-mails: pcecchib@gmail.com, rtiedra@mat.puc.cl

Abstract

We consider in this note Furstenberg transformations on Cartesian products of infinite-dimensional tori. Under some appropriate assumptions, we show that these transformations are uniquely ergodic with respect to the Haar measure and have countable Lebesgue spectrum in a suitable subspace. These results generalise to the infinite-dimensional setting previous results of H. Furstenberg, A. Iwanik, M. Lemańczyk, D. Rudolph and the second author in the one-dimensional setting. Our proofs rely on the use of commutator methods for unitary operators and Bruhat functions on the infinite-dimensional torus.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 28D10, 37A30, 37C40, 58J51.

Keywords: Furstenberg transformations, infinite-dimensional torus, commutator methods.

1 Introduction

We consider in this note the generalisation of Furstenberg transformations on Cartesian products \mathbb{T}^d ($d \geq 2$) of one-dimensional tori [8, Sec. 2] to the case of Cartesian products $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^d$ of infinite-dimensional tori. Using recent results on commutator methods for unitary operators [14, 15], we show under a C^1 regularity assumption on the perturbations that these transformations are uniquely ergodic with respect to the Haar measure on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^d$ and are strongly mixing in the orthocomplement of functions depending only on variables in the first torus \mathbb{T}^∞ (see Assumption 3.2 and Theorem 3.3). Under a slightly stronger regularity assumption (C^1 + Dini continuous derivative), we also show that these transformations have countable Lebesgue spectrum in that orthocomplement (see Theorem 3.4). These results generalise to the infinite-dimensional setting previous results of H. Furstenberg, A. Iwanik, M. Lemańczyk, D. Rudolph and the second author [8, 10, 15] in the one-dimensional setting.

Apart from commutator methods, our proofs rely on the use of Bruhat test functions [5] which provide a natural analog to the usual C^∞ -functions which do not exist in our infinite-dimensional setting (see Section 3 for details). We also mention that all the results of this note apply to Furstenberg transformations on Cartesian products $(\mathbb{T}^n)^d$ of finite-dimensional tori \mathbb{T}^n of any dimension $n \geq 1$. One just has to consider the particular case where the functions defining the Furstenberg transformations on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^d$ depend only on a finite number of variables.

*Supported by the Chilean Fondecyt Grant 1130168 and by the Iniciativa Científica Milenio ICM RC120002 “Mathematical Physics” from the Chilean Ministry of Economy.

Here is a brief description of the content of the note. First, we recall in Section 2 the needed facts on commutators of unitary operators and regularity classes associated to them. Then, we define in Section 3 the Furstenberg transformations on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^d$, and prove Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 on the unique ergodicity, strong mixing property and countable Lebesgue spectrum of these transformations.

We refer to [9, 11, 12, 13] and references therein for other works building on Furstenberg transformations.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank M. Măntoiu and J. Rivera-Letelier for discussions which partly motivated this note.

2 Commutator methods for unitary operators

We recall in this section some facts borrowed from [7, 14] on commutator methods for unitary operators and regularity classes associated to them.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ antilinear in the first argument, denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} , and write $\|\cdot\|$ both for the norm on \mathcal{H} and the norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let A be a self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$, and take $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that S belongs to $C^k(A)$, with notation $S \in C^k(A)$, if the map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{-itA} S e^{itA} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (2.1)$$

is strongly of class C^k . In the case $k = 1$, one has $S \in C^1(A)$ if and only if the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \ni \varphi \mapsto \langle \varphi, S A \varphi \rangle - \langle A \varphi, S \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

is continuous for the topology induced by \mathcal{H} on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. We denote by $[S, A]$ the bounded operator associated with the continuous extension of this form, or equivalently $-i$ times the strong derivative of the function (2.1) at $t = 0$.

A condition slightly stronger than the inclusion $S \in C^1(A)$ is provided by the following definition: S belongs to $C^{1+0}(A)$, with notation $S \in C^{1+0}(A)$, if $S \in C^1(A)$ and if $[A, S]$ satisfies the Dini-type condition

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \|e^{-itA}[A, S]e^{itA} - [A, S]\| < \infty.$$

As banachizable topological vector spaces, the sets $C^2(A)$, $C^{1+0}(A)$, $C^1(A)$ and $C^0(A) \equiv \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy the continuous inclusions [2, Sec. 5.2.4]

$$C^2(A) \subset C^{1+0}(A) \subset C^1(A) \subset C^0(A).$$

Now, let $U \in C^1(A)$ be a unitary operator with (complex) spectral measure $E^U(\cdot)$ and spectrum $\sigma(U) \subset \mathbb{S}^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. If there exist a Borel set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, a number $a > 0$ and a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$E^U(\Theta) U^* [A, U] E^U(\Theta) \geq a E^U(\Theta) + K, \quad (2.2)$$

then one says that U satisfies a Mourre estimate on Θ and that A is a conjugate operator for U on Θ . Also, one says that U satisfies a strict Mourre estimate on Θ if (2.2) holds with $K = 0$. One of the consequences of a Mourre estimate is to imply spectral properties for U on Θ . We recall here these spectral results in the case $U \in C^{1+0}(A)$. We also recall a result on the strong mixing property of U in the case $U \in C^1(A)$ (see [7, Thm. 2.7 & Rem. 2.8] and [14, Thm. 3.1] for more details).

Theorem 2.1 (Absolutely continuous spectrum). *Let U and A be respectively a unitary and a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with $U \in C^{1+0}(A)$. Suppose there exist an open set $\Theta \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, a number $a > 0$ and a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$E^U(\Theta) U^* [A, U] E^U(\Theta) \geq a E^U(\Theta) + K. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, U has at most finitely many eigenvalues in Θ , each one of finite multiplicity, and U has no singular continuous spectrum in Θ . Furthermore, if (2.3) holds with $K = 0$, then U has only purely absolutely continuous spectrum in Θ (no singular spectrum).

Theorem 2.2 (Strong mixing). *Let U and A be respectively a unitary and a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with $U \in C^1(A)$. Assume that the strong limit*

$$D := \text{s-lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} U^\ell ([A, U] U^{-1}) U^{-\ell}$$

exists, and suppose that $\eta(D) \mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ for each $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. Then,

- (a) $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi, U^N \psi \rangle = 0$ for each $\varphi \in \ker(D)^\perp$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$,
- (b) $U|_{\ker(D)^\perp}$ has purely continuous spectrum.

3 Furstenberg transformations on Cartesian products of infinite-dimensional tori

Let $\mathbb{T}^\infty \simeq \mathbb{R}^\infty / \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ be the infinite-dimensional torus with elements $x \equiv \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and let $\widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty}$ be the dual group of \mathbb{T}^∞ . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, let μ_n be the normalised Haar measure on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^n$, and let $\mathcal{H}_n := L^2((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^n, \mu_n)$ be the corresponding Hilbert space. In analogy to Furstenberg transformations on Cartesian products of one-dimensional tori (see [8, Sec. 2.3]), we consider Furstenberg transformations on Cartesian products of infinite-dimensional tori $T_d : (\mathbb{T}^\infty)^d \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}^\infty)^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, given by

$$T_d(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) := (x_1 + \alpha, x_2 + \phi_1(x_1), \dots, x_d + \phi_{d-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1})),$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ such that $\{n\alpha\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is dense in \mathbb{T}^∞ and $\phi_j \in C((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^j; \mathbb{T}^\infty)$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. Since T_d is invertible and preserves the measure μ_d , the Koopman operator

$$W_d : \mathcal{H}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ T_d,$$

is a unitary operator. Furthermore, W_d is reduced by the orthogonal decompositions

$$\mathcal{H}_d = \mathcal{H}_1 \bigoplus_{j \in \{2, \dots, d\}} (\mathcal{H}_j \ominus \mathcal{H}_{j-1}) = \mathcal{H}_1 \bigoplus_{j \in \{2, \dots, d\}, \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \setminus \{1\}} \mathcal{H}_{j,\chi}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{j,\chi} := \{\eta \otimes \chi \mid \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{j-1}\},$$

and the restriction $W_d|_{\mathcal{H}_{j,\chi}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the unitary operator

$$U_{j,\chi} \eta := (\chi \circ \phi_{j-1}) W_{j-1} \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{j-1}.$$

In order to define later a conjugate operator for $U_{j,\chi}$, we first define a suitable group of translations on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}$. For this, we choose $\{y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ an ergodic continuous one-parameter subgroup of \mathbb{T}^∞ such that each map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto ((y_t)_1, \dots, (y_t)_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \quad (3.1)$$

is of class C^1 . An example of such a subgroup $\{y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is for instance given by the formula

$$(y_t)_k = y^k t \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.$$

with $y \in \mathbb{R}$ a transcendental number (see [6, Ex. 4.1.1]). Then, we associate to $\{y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the translation flow

$$F_{j-1,t}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}) := (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1} + y_t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}) \in (\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1},$$

and the translation operators $V_{j-1,t} : \mathcal{H}_{j-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{j-1}$ given by $V_{j-1,t}\eta := \eta \circ F_{j-1,t}$. Due to the continuity of the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto y_t \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ and of the group operation, the family $\{V_{j-1,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ defines a strongly continuous unitary group in \mathcal{H}_{j-1} with self-adjoint generator

$$H_{j-1}\eta := \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} it^{-1}(V_{j-1,t} - 1)\eta, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}(H_{j-1}) := \left\{ \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{j-1} \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} |t|^{-1} \| (V_{j-1,t} - 1)\eta \| < \infty \right\}.$$

When dealing with differential operators on compact manifolds, one typically does the calculations on an appropriate core of the operators such as the set of C^∞ -functions. But here, there are no such functions on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}$, since \mathbb{T}^∞ is not a manifold (\mathbb{T}^∞ does not admit any differentiable structure modeled on locally convex spaces, see [4, Sec. 10.2] for details). So, we use instead the set \mathcal{B}_{j-1} of Bruhat test functions on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}$, whose definition is the following (see [3, Sec. 2.2] or [5] for details). Set $\mathbb{T}^0 := \{0\}$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\pi_n : (\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}^n)^{j-1}$ be the projection given by

$$\pi_n(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}) := \begin{cases} (0, \dots, 0) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ ((x_1)_1, \dots, (x_1)_n, \dots, (x_{j-1})_1, \dots, (x_{j-1})_n) & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{B}_{j-1} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \zeta \circ \pi_n \mid \zeta \in C^\infty((\mathbb{T}^n)^{j-1}) \},$$

that is, \mathcal{B}_{j-1} is the set of all functions on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}$ that are obtained by lifting to $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}$ any C^∞ -function on one of the Lie groups $(\mathbb{T}^n)^{j-1}$. The set \mathcal{B}_{j-1} is dense in \mathcal{H}_{j-1} , it is left invariant by the group $\{V_{j-1,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, and satisfies the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_{j-1} \subset \mathcal{D}(H_{j-1})$ (to show the latter, one has to use the C^1 -assumption (3.1)). Therefore, it follows from Nelson's theorem [1, Prop. 5.3] that H_{j-1} is essentially self-adjoint on \mathcal{B}_{j-1} .

Now, if the (multiplication operator valued) map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \chi \circ \phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})$ is strongly of class C^1 , then $\chi \circ \phi_{j-1} \in C^1(H_{j-1})$ since

$$\chi \circ \phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} = V_{j-1,t}(\chi \circ \phi_{j-1})V_{j-1,-t} = e^{-itH_{j-1}}(\chi \circ \phi_{j-1})e^{itH_{j-1}}.$$

Thus, the operator

$$\begin{aligned} g_{j,\chi} &:= [H_{j-1}, (\chi \circ \phi_{j-1})](\bar{\chi} \circ \phi_{j-1}) \equiv \text{s-} \frac{d}{dt} (i\chi \circ \phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t})(\bar{\chi} \circ \phi_{j-1}) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &\equiv \text{s-} \frac{d}{dt} i\chi \circ (\phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \phi_{j-1}) \Big|_{t=0} \end{aligned}$$

is a bounded self-adjoint multiplication operator in \mathcal{H}_{j-1} .

Lemma 3.1. *Take $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ and $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \setminus \{1\}$, and assume that the map $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \chi \circ \phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})$ is strongly of class C^1 . Then, $U_{j,\chi} \in C^1(H_{j-1})$ with $[H_{j-1}, U_{j,\chi}] = g_{j,\chi}U_{j,\chi}$.*

Proof. Take $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_{j-1}$. Then, the commutation of $V_{j-1,t}$ and W_{j-1} and the differentiability assumption

imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle H_{j-1}\eta, U_{j,\chi}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} - \langle \eta, U_{j,\chi}H_{j-1}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} \\
&= i \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ -\langle V_{j-1,t}\eta, (\chi \circ \phi_{j-1})W_{j-1}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} - \langle \eta, (\chi \circ \phi_{j-1})W_{j-1}V_{j-1,t}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} \right\} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= i \frac{d}{dt} \langle \eta, (\chi \circ \phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \chi \circ \phi_{j-1})W_{j-1}V_{j-1,t}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \eta, \{(i\chi \circ \phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t})(\bar{\chi} \circ \phi_{j-1}) - 1\} U_{j,\chi}V_{j-1,t}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \eta, \{i\chi \circ (\phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \phi_{j-1}) - 1\} U_{j,\chi}V_{j-1,t}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \langle \eta, g_{j,\chi}U_{j,\chi}\eta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus the claim follows from the boundedness of $g_{j,\chi}$ and the density of \mathcal{B}_{j-1} in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})$. \square

Assumption 3.2. For each $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$, the map $\phi_{j-1} \in C((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}; \mathbb{T}^\infty)$ satisfies $\phi_{j-1} = \xi_{j-1} + \eta_{j-1}$, where

(i) $\xi_{j-1} \in C((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}; \mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is a group homomorphism such that

$$\mathbb{T}^\infty \ni x_{j-1} \mapsto (\chi \circ \xi_{j-1})(0, \dots, 0 + x_{j-1}) \in \mathbb{S}^1$$

is nontrivial for each $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \setminus \{1\}$,

(ii) $\eta_{j-1} \in C((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}; \mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is such that there exists $\tilde{\eta}_{j-1} \in C((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}; \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ with

$$\eta_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}) = (\tilde{\eta}_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^\infty}) \quad \text{for each } (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}) \in (\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1},$$

and with $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k} \circ F_{j-1,t} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{j-1})$ strongly of class C^1 for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

In the next theorem, we use the fact that the map

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto (\chi \circ \xi_{j-1})(0, \dots, 0 + y_t) \in \mathbb{S}^1$$

is a character on \mathbb{R} , and thus of class C^∞ . We also use the notation

$$\xi_{j-1}^{(\chi)} := \frac{d}{dt} (\chi \circ \xi_{j-1})(0, \dots, 0 + y_t) \Big|_{t=0} \in i\mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 3.3 (Strong mixing and unique ergodicity). Suppose that Assumption 3.2 is satisfied. Then, W_d is strongly mixing in $\mathcal{H}_d \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$ and T_d is uniquely ergodic with respect to μ_d .

These results of strong mixing and unique ergodicity are an extension to the case of infinite-dimensional tori of results previously obtained in the case of one-dimensional tori (see [8, Thm. 2.1] for the unique ergodicity and [10, Rem. 1] for the strong mixing property). For instance, if the functions η_{j-1} in Assumption 3.2 were to depend only on a finite number of variables, then the strong C^1 regularity condition on η_{j-1} in Assumption 3.2(ii) would reduce to a uniform Lipschitz condition of η_{j-1} along the flow $\{F_{j-1,t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, as in the one-dimensional case treated by Furstenberg in [8, Thm. 2.1].

Proof. (i) Take $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$, $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \setminus \{1\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exist $k_\chi \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and $n_1, \dots, n_{k_\chi} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\chi(x_{j-1}) = e^{2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k x_{j-1,k}},$$

with $x_{j-1} \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ and $x_{j-1,k} \in [0, 1)$ the k -th cyclic component of x_{j-1} . Therefore, we infer from Assumption 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi \circ (\phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \phi_{j-1}) &= \chi \circ (\xi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \xi_{j-1}) \cdot \chi \circ (\eta_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \eta_{j-1}) \\ &= (\chi \circ \xi_{j-1})(0, \dots, 0 + y_t) \cdot e^{2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k (\tilde{\eta}_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \tilde{\eta}_{j-1})_k},\end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 3.1 implies that $U_{j,\chi} \in C^1(H_{j-1})$ with $[H_{j-1}, U_{j,\chi}] = g_{j,\chi} U_{j,\chi}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}g_{j,\chi} = s \cdot \frac{d}{dt} i \chi \circ (\phi_{j-1} \circ F_{j-1,t} - \phi_{j-1}) \Big|_{t=0} &= i \xi_{j-1}^{(\chi)} - 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k \left(s \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k} \circ F_{j-1,t} \Big|_{t=0} \right) \\ &= i \xi_{j-1}^{(\chi)} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k (H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

(ii) We now proceed by induction on d to prove the claims. For the case $d = 2$, we take $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty \setminus \{1\}}$ and note that point (i) implies

$$\begin{aligned}D_{2,\chi} &:= s\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} (U_{2,\chi})^\ell ([H_1, U_{2,\chi}] (U_{2,\chi})^{-1}) (U_{2,\chi})^{-\ell} \\ &= s\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} g_{2,\chi} \circ (T_1)^\ell \\ &= i \xi_1^{(\chi)} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k \left(s\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} (H_1 \tilde{\eta}_{1,k}) \circ (T_1)^\ell \right).\end{aligned}$$

Since T_1 is ergodic and $H_1 \tilde{\eta}_{1,k} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$, it follows by Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$D_{2,\chi} = i \xi_1^{(\chi)} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} d\mu_1 (H_1 \tilde{\eta}_{1,k}) = i \xi_1^{(\chi)} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} d\mu_1 \langle 1, H_1 \tilde{\eta}_{1,k} \rangle_{H_1} = i \xi_1^{(\chi)}.$$

Now, since the character $\mathbb{T}^\infty \ni x_{j-1} \mapsto (\chi \circ \xi_1)(0, \dots, 0 + x_{j-1}) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is nontrivial and the subgroup $\{y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ ergodic, we have $\xi_1^{(\chi)} \neq 0$ (see [6, Thm. 4.1.1']). Thus, $D_{2,\chi} \neq 0$, and we deduce from Theorem 2.2(a) that $U_{2,\chi}$ is strongly mixing. Since this is true for each $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty \setminus \{1\}}$, and since $U_{2,\chi}$ is unitarily equivalent to $W_2|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,\chi}}$, we infer that W_2 is strongly mixing in $\bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty \setminus \{1\}}} \mathcal{H}_{2,\chi} = \mathcal{H}_2 \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$.

To show that T_2 is uniquely ergodic with respect to μ_2 , we take an eigenvector of W_2 with eigenvalue 1, that is, a vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_2$ such that $W_2 \varphi = \varphi$. Since W_2 is strongly mixing in $\mathcal{H}_2 \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$, W_2 has purely continuous spectrum in $\mathcal{H}_2 \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$ (see Theorem 2.2(b)), and thus $\varphi = \eta \otimes 1$ for some $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_1$. So,

$$W_2 \varphi = \varphi \iff W_2(\eta \otimes 1) = \eta \otimes 1 \iff W_1 \eta = \eta,$$

and thus η is an eigenvector of W_1 with eigenvalue 1. It follows that η is constant μ_1 -almost everywhere due to the ergodicity of T_1 . Therefore, φ is constant μ_2 -almost everywhere, and T_2 is ergodic. This implies that T_2 is uniquely ergodic because ergodicity implies unique ergodicity for transformations such as T_2 (see [6, Thm. 4.2.1]).

Now, assume the claims are true for $d-1 \geq 1$. Then, W_{d-1} is strongly mixing in $\mathcal{H}_{d-1} \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$. Furthermore, a calculation as in the case $d=2$ shows that W_d is strongly mixing in $\bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty \setminus \{1\}}} \mathcal{H}_{d,\chi} = \mathcal{H}_d \ominus \mathcal{H}_{d-1}$. This implies that W_d is strongly mixing in

$$(\mathcal{H}_{d-1} \ominus \mathcal{H}_1) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_d \ominus \mathcal{H}_{d-1}) = \mathcal{H}_d \ominus \mathcal{H}_1.$$

This, together with the unique ergodicity of T_{d-1} , allows us to show that T_d is uniquely ergodic as in the case $d=2$. \square

We know from the proof of Theorem 3.3 that if Assumption 3.2 is satisfied, then $U_{j,\chi} \in C^1(H_{j-1})$ with $[H_{j-1}, U_{j,\chi}] = g_{j,\chi} U_{j,\chi}$. So, it follows from [7, Sec. 4] that the operator

$$A_{j,\chi}^{(N)} \eta := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} (U_{j,\chi})^\ell H_{j-1} (U_{j,\chi})^{-\ell} \eta, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, \quad \eta \in \mathcal{D}(A_{j,\chi}^{(N)}) := \mathcal{D}(H_{j-1}),$$

is self-adjoint, and that $U_{j,\chi} \in C^1(A_{j,\chi}^{(N)})$ with

$$[A_{j,\chi}^{(N)}, U_{j,\chi}] = g_{j,\chi}^{(N)} U_{j,\chi} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{j,\chi}^{(N)} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} g_{j,\chi} \circ (T_{j-1})^\ell.$$

Theorem 3.4 (Countable Lebesgue spectrum). *Suppose that Assumption 3.2 is satisfied, and assume for each $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ that $H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k} \in C((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1})$ and that*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \| (H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k}) \circ F_{j-1,t} - (H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k}) \|_{L^\infty((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1})} < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Then, W_d has countable Lebesgue spectrum in $\mathcal{H}_d \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$.

Proof. Take $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ and $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \setminus \{1\}$. Then, we know from Lemma 3.1 that $U_{j,\chi} \in C^1(H_{j-1})$ with $[H_{j-1}, U_{j,\chi}] = g_{j,\chi} U_{j,\chi}$. Furthermore, (3.2) and (3.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \| e^{-itH_{j-1}} g_{j,\chi} e^{itH_{j-1}} - g_{j,\chi} \|_{\mathcal{B}(H_{j-1})} \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \| g_{j,\chi} \circ F_{j-1,t} - g_{j,\chi} \|_{L^\infty((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1})} \\ &\leq 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} |n_k| \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \| (H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k}) \circ F_{j-1,t} - (H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k}) \|_{L^\infty((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1})} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain that $U_{j,\chi} \in C^{1+0}(H_{j-1})$ with $[H_{j-1}, U_{j,\chi}] = g_{j,\chi} U_{j,\chi}$, and thus deduce from [7, Sec. 4] that $U_{j,\chi} \in C^{1+0}(A_{j,\chi}^{(N)})$ with $[A_{j,\chi}^{(N)}, U_{j,\chi}] = g_{j,\chi}^{(N)} U_{j,\chi}$. Now, $H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k} \in C((\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1})$ and T_{j-1} is uniquely ergodic with respect to μ_{j-1} due to Theorem 3.3. Thus, we infer from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} g_{j,\chi}^{(N)} &= i\xi_{j-1}^{(\chi)} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} (H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k}) \circ (T_{j-1})^\ell \\ &= i\xi_{j-1}^{(\chi)} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{k_\chi} n_k \int_{(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}} d\mu_{j-1} \langle 1, H_{j-1} \tilde{\eta}_{j-1,k} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{j-1}} \\ &= i\xi_{j-1}^{(\chi)} \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on $(\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}$. Since $\xi_{j-1}^{(\chi)} \neq 0$ by the proof of Theorem 3.3, one has $|g_{j,\chi}^{(N)}| > 0$ if N is large enough. So, $|g_{j,\chi}^{(N)}| \geq a$ with $a := \inf_{x \in (\mathbb{T}^\infty)^{j-1}} |g_{j,\chi}^{(N)}(x)| > 0$, and $U_{j,\chi}$ satisfies the following strict Mourre estimate on \mathbb{S}^1 :

$$(U_{j,\chi})^* [\operatorname{sgn}(g_{j,\chi}^{(N)}) A_{j,\chi}^{(N)}, U_{j,\chi}] = (U_{j,\chi})^* |g_{j,\chi}^{(N)}| U_{j,\chi} \geq a.$$

Therefore, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, and thus $U_{j,\chi}$ has purely absolutely continuous spectrum. Since this occurs for each $j \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ and $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \setminus \{1\}$, and since $U_{j,\chi}$ is unitarily equivalent to $W_d|_{\mathcal{H}_{j,\chi}}$, one infers that W_d has purely absolutely continuous spectrum in $\bigoplus_{j \in \{2, \dots, d\}, \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \setminus \{1\}} \mathcal{H}_{j,\chi} = \mathcal{H}_d \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$. Finally, the fact that W_d has has countable Lebesgue spectrum in $\mathcal{H}_d \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$ can be shown in a similar way as in [10, Lemmas 3 & 4]. \square

The result of Theorem 3.4 is an extension to the case of infinite-dimensional tori of results previously obtained in the case of one-dimensional tori (see [10, Cor. 3] or [15, Thm. 5.3]).

References

- [1] W. O. Amrein. *Hilbert space methods in quantum mechanics*. Fundamental Sciences. EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009.
- [2] W. O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, and V. Georgescu. *C_0 -groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of N -body Hamiltonians*, volume 135 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.
- [3] A. Bendikov and L. Saloff-Coste. On the sample paths of Brownian motions on compact infinite dimensional groups. *Ann. Probab.*, 31(3):1464–1493, 2003.
- [4] V. I. Bogachev. Differentiable measures and the Malliavin calculus. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 87(4):3577–3731, 1997. Analysis, 9.
- [5] F. Bruhat. Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications à l'étude des représentations des groupes p -adiques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 89:43–75, 1961.
- [6] I. P. Cornfeld, S. V. Fomin, and Y. G. Sinai. *Ergodic theory*, volume 245 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. Translated from the Russian by A. B. Sosinskiĭ.
- [7] C. Fernández, S. Richard, and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator methods for unitary operators. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 3(3):271–292, 2013.
- [8] H. Furstenberg. Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus. *Amer. J. Math.*, 83:573–601, 1961.
- [9] G. Greschonig. Nilpotent extensions of Furstenberg transformations. *Israel J. Math.*, 183:381–397, 2011.
- [10] A. Iwanik, M. Lemańczyk, and D. Rudolph. Absolutely continuous cocycles over irrational rotations. *Israel J. Math.*, 83(1-2):73–95, 1993.
- [11] R. Ji. *ON THE CROSSED PRODUCT C^* -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH FURSTENBERG TRANSFORMATIONS ON TORI*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1986. Thesis (Ph.D.)–State University of New York at Stony Brook.
- [12] H. Osaka and N. C. Phillips. Furstenberg transformations on irrational rotation algebras. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 26(5):1623–1651, 2006.
- [13] K. Reihani. K -theory of Furstenberg transformation group C^* -algebras. *Canad. J. Math.*, 65(6):1287–1319, 2013.
- [14] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator criteria for strong mixing. to appear in Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, preprint on <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5777>.
- [15] R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. Commutator methods for the spectral analysis of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, FirstView:1–24, 10 2014.